

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/832,518	DOLECEK, VICTOR D.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Jean C. Witz	1651	

All Participants:

(1) Jean C. Witz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Jeff Hohenshell.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obvious-type Double Patenting

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was advised of claims in copending application serial number 10/116729, allowed, that would be properly provisionally rejected as rejectable under the doctrine of obvious-type double patenting. Applicant's representative agreed to submit a terminal disclaimer over this application. Applicant's representative also identified application serial number 09/832729 as a copending application..